# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:

### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

# PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

YOSHITAKE, Kenji Kyowa Patent & Law Office, Room 323, Fuji Bldg., 2-3, Marunouchi 3-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0005 JAPON

RECEIVED

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
23 March 2006 (23.03.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 148344-176

International application No. PCT/JP2004/008224

**IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION** 

International filing date (day/month/year)
11 June 2004 (11.06.2004)

Applicant

JAPAN AS REPRESENTED BY PRESIDENT OF NATIONAL CENTER FOR GERIATRICS AND GERONTOLOGY et al

. Tr	ansmittal	of the	translation	to	the applicant.
------	-----------	--------	-------------	----	----------------

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary repor	rt or
patentability (Chapter I).	

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

EP. KR

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, KE, KG, KP, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 90 90

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# Translation

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 148344-176	FOR FURTHER A		See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No.	International filing dat	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP2004/008224	11.06.200	4	13.06.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or  Applicant  JAPAN AS REPRESENTE			ONAL CENTER FOR
GERIATRICS AND GERO			
under Article 35 and transmitted	to the applicant according to	o Article 36.	s International Preliminary Examining Authority
This REPORT consists of a total     This report is also accompanied by		sheets, includi	ing this cover sheet.
5		_	and a second of the con-
sheets of the de	and to the International Buscription, claims and/or dra rectifications authorized	wings which have beer	sheets, as follows: n amended and are the basis for this report and/or Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
sheets which su	persede earlier sheets, but in the international applicat	which this Authority co ion as filed, as indicate	onsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond ed in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
	onal Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and num	ber of electronic carrier(s))
			. containing a sequence listing and/or tables
	puter readable form only, a ninistrative Instructions).	s indicated in the Supp	plemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following iter	ns:	·
Box No. 1 Basis o	of the report		
Box No. II Priorit	у		
Box No. III Non-es	stablishment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
	of unity of invention		
	ned statement under Article ns and explanations support		ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
Box No. VI Certain	n documents cited		
Box No. VII Certain	n defects in the international	l application	
Box No. VIII Certain	n observations on the intern	ational application	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	f this report
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JE	P	Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	
i acamute ivo.		1 - 2-21	

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008224

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
I.		rd to the language, this report is based on the internation under this item.	al application in the language in which it w	vas filed. unless otherwise
	This whice	report is based on translations from the original language ch is the language of a translation furnished for the purpo	e into the following languageses of:	
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)		
	L	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o		
2.	receiving this report the	international application as originally filed/furnished	eport is based on (replacement sheets wh referred to in this report as "originally	ich have been furnished to the filed" and are not annexed to
		description: es 1-17		as originally filed/furnished
	pag			
	pag			
	pag			
		claims:		as originally filed/furnished
	nos			
	nos			
	nos			
	nos	5.*	received by this Admonty on	
	the	drawings:		an addition to the state of the state of
	she			
	she		received by this Authority on	
	_		received by this Authority on	
	∐ a s	equence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.	∑ <sub>Th</sub>	e amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description. pages		
	$\boxtimes$	the claims. nos. 2,5,8,11		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		,		
4.	The the	nis report has been established as if (some of) the amend ey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	lments annexed to this report and listed li led, as indicated in the Supplemental Box	pelow had not been made, since (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description. pages		
		the claims. nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
\$	If item 4	l applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	oerseded."	

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008224

Box No. II	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	ons whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 17
hecaus	::
$\boxtimes$	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 17 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	Claim 17 discloses a method for the treatment of
	Alzheimer's disease, which corresponds to a method for the
	treatment of the human body by means of surgery or therapy;
	therefore, claim 17 relates to a subject matter for which
	it is not necessary to carry out an international
	preliminary examination under the provisions of PCT Article
	34(4)(a)(i) and PCT Rule 67.1(iv).
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos.  are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 17
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative
	Instructions in that:
	the written form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008224

Box	x No. VI	Certain documents cited			
1.	Certain pub	olished documents (Rule 70, 10)			
		Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
	WO	2004/050876 A	17.06.2004	01.12.2003	29.11.2002
ı	[E,	. <b>x</b> ]			
2.	Non-writte	n disclosures (Rule 70.9)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Kind of non-written disclosure	Date of non-written d		e of written disclosure g to non-written disclosure
			(day/month/yea		(day/month/year)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/008224

Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
Continuation of Box No. I, item 2:		
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis of:		
1. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing tablets) related to the sequence listing  b. format of material  in written format  in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing  contained in the international application as filed  filed together with the international application in computer readable form  furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination  received by this Authority as an amendment* on  2. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or tablets) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
<ul> <li>If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and/or table(s) related thereto, which form part of the basis of the report, may be marked "superseded."</li> </ul>		

PCT/JP2004/008224

### 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The following documents are cited in the international search report.

Document 5: WO 1999/27944 Al (Athena Neurosciences, Inc.), 10 June 1999

Document 7: E. M. JOHNSTONE et al., Biochem. Biophys.

Res. Commun., (1996), Vol. 220, pages 710 to
718

The following documents are newly cited by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

Document 8: M. J. DURING et al., Science, (2000), Vol. 287, pages 1453 to 1460

Document 9: E. TARKOWSKI et al., Neurobiology of Ageing, (2002), Vol. 23, pages 237 to 243

(a)

Document 5 discloses immunogenic fragments (A $\beta$  1-12, A $\beta$  1-42 and the like) of the A $\beta$  peptide (hereinafter referred to as the  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide), and discloses the feature of administering said immunogenic fragments and/or polypeptides that contain said immunogenic

PCT/JP2004/008224

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

fragments to an organism in order to treat Alzheimer's disease. Furthermore, document 1 also indicates that the administration of the  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide to a PDAPP mouse, which is a mouse model for Alzheimer's disease, resulted in the amelioration (the reduction) of the accumulation of amyloids in the cortex of the brain, which is one symptom of Alzheimer's disease (in particular, refer to fig. 12), and further suggests treating Alzheimer's disease by using an adeno-associated virus vector system in order to administer DNA that codes the aforementioned immunogenic fragments and/or DNA that codes the polypeptides which contain said immunogenic fragments to an organism via oral administration or the like (refer to page 21, lines 15 to 26 and page 21, line 35 to page 22, line 2 of the description).

(b)

Document 7 presents a method whereby a protein in which the signal peptide of the amyloid precursor protein (APP), which corresponds to amino acids 1 to 19 of the APP, has been fused upstream from the  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide (1-43) is expressed within a cell, whereafter the aforementioned  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide is secreted to the exterior of the cell in which it was expressed.

(c)

Document 8 presents a recombinant adeno-associated virus vector for introducing the gene that codes the N-methyl-D-asparate receptor (NMDAR), which is a protein that is expressed in the brain, into the *in vivo* intestinal cells of animals such as rats via oral administration; presents an oral vaccine for the

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

treatment of nervous system disorders that are associated with the NMDAR, which includes said recombinant adeno-associated virus vector as a constituent component; and presents a method for adjusting the recombinant adeno-associated virus vector so that it is possible to express the aforementioned gene within the aforementioned intestinal cells. Furthermore, document 8 suggests that the oral vaccine against the NMDAR proteins expressed in the brain, which includes said recombinant adeno-associated virus vector as a constituent component, is capable of inducing a humorous immunity within the body, but not of inducing cellular immunity.

(d)

Document 9 indicates that the concentration of TGF-  $\beta$  in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of a group of Alzheimer's patients was high in comparison to that of a control group comprising healthy subjects (in particular, refer to fig. 2).

The inventions set forth in claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12 to 16 and 18 do not involve an inventive step in the light of document 5, document 7 and document 8.

The  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide that is disclosed in document 5 is a protein that is expressed in the brain; therein, document 5 suggests that said  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide can be used as an immunization source (a vaccine) for producing antibodies within an organism in order to treat Alzheimer's disease, and also suggests treating Alzheimer's disease by using an adeno-associated virus vector system in order to administer DNA that codes the  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide to an organism via oral administration

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

or the like. Furthermore, recombinant adeno-associated virus vectors for introducing a gene that codes a protein into the *in vivo* intestinal cells of animals via oral administration and oral vaccines that are capable of inducing a humorous immunity within the body but not cellular immunity, which comprise said adeno-associated virus vector vectors as constituent components, are well known as means whereby it is possible to employ another protein which is also expressed in the brain as a vaccine for the treatment of nervous system disorders, as indicated in document 8.

Meanwhile, in the written response the applicant asserts reasons to refute the existence of factors that would motivate a person skilled in the art to combine the inventions that are presented in document 5 and document 8, including the fact that the inventions set forth in the claims target Alzheimer's disease, which is a completely different type of disease from the nervous system disorders that are targeted by the vaccine that is presented in document 8, and the fact that that the antibody functions which are induced by the inventions are likewise different. However, even if the assertions by the applicant were accepted as being true, said assertions still are not considered to be sufficient to prevent a person skilled in the art from combining the inventions that are presented in document 5 and document 8.

Furthermore, it is known that in cases when genes that code proteins which are normally secreted by the original animal cell are introduced into another animal cell and expressed, the resulting expression products will also have a form that can be secreted; meanwhile,

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

although  $\beta$ -amyloid peptides are not secretion proteins, methods whereby a protein in which the signal peptide of the APP, which corresponds to amino acids 1 to 19 of the APP, has been fused upstream from the  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide is expressed within a cell in order to secrete the  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide to the outside of the cell in which it was expressed are well known, as disclosed in document 7.

Therefore, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of treating Alzheimer's disease by producing DNA that codes a fused protein in which the signal peptide of the APP has been bonded to the antigenic  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide (1-42) that is disclosed in document 5 or the like in the manner that is indicated in document 7; producing a recombinant adeno-associated virus vector by incorporating said produced DNA into an adeno-associated virus vector by means of the method that is presented in document 8; and then using said recombinant adeno-associated virus vector as an oral vaccine or other such drug for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease.

Furthermore, with regards to the effect whereby the administration of the vectors from the inventions that are set forth in the claims spurs the production of  $\beta-$  amyloid peptide antibodies and decreases the concentration of TGF- $\beta1$  in the blood serum, it would have been possible for a person skilled in the art to predict that the administration of an oral vaccine comprising the recombinant adeno-associated virus vector would cause the production of antibodies against the  $\beta-$ amyloid peptide in an organism, which would lead to the amelioration of the symptoms of Alzheimer's disease and thereby result in a decrease in the concentration of TGF- $\beta$  within the CSF in

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008224

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the light of the fact that the concentration of TGF- $\beta$  in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of a group of Alzheimer's patients was high in comparison to that of a control group comprising healthy subjects, as is indicated in document 9 for example, and the fact that the administration of the  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide to a mouse model for Alzheimer's disease caused the production of antibodies against the  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide within the mouse model and led to the amelioration of the symptoms of Alzheimer's disease, as disclosed in document 5. Furthermore, it is likely that a similar effect would have resulted even in cases when a  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide like that disclosed in document 5 itself is administered; therefore, the effect in question cannot be considered to be significant.

In the written response, the applicant asserts that it is possible to inhibit the deposition of amyloids in the cerebral blood vessels by administering the vectors from the inventions that are set forth in the claims. However, neither the description of the present application nor the written response includes specific disclosures including objective data which demonstrates that the inventions actually exhibit the effect in question, or which demonstrates that that said effect is superior to the effects that result from configurations wherein  $\beta$ -amyloid peptides are administered directly; therefore, said effect cannot be considered to be significant.